# Aperture Masking Interferometry in Astronomy

Steph Sallum Sagan Summer Workshop July 26, 2024



## 10 years ago at the Sagan Workshop...



#### "Her slides were very patriotic."

https://xwcl.science/magao-c/sagan-2014-imaging-planets-and-disks/



## Some (Very) Quick Motivation

Direct imaging observations suffer from uncorrected phase errors.

Observing (and post processing) strategies that can remove these phase errors would allow us to access small angular separations, benefiting a wide variety of science cases!



Image credit: Jason Wang (Northwestern)/William Thompson (UVic)/ Christian Marois (NRC Herzberg)/Quinn Konopacky (UCSD)



### **Aperture Masking Interferometry Transforming a filled aperture into** an interferometric array via a pupil-plane mask.



Image credit: Peter Tuthill

## **Aperture Masking Interferometry** Transforming a filled aperture into an interferometric array via a pupil-plane mask.



Image credit: Peter Tu...

## Young's Double Slit Experiment (1801)





Fringe spacing depends on slit separation, modulation depends on slit size!



### Pupil



#### Image

![](_page_6_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Pupil

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Image

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Pupil

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Image

![](_page_8_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Pupil

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Image

![](_page_9_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Pupil

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Image

### Pupil

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Image

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Pupil

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Image

### Pupil

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Image

### Non-Redundant Masking Using NRM to measure stellar diameters was first suggested by Fizeau in 1868!

Pupil

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Image

#### **Complex Visibilities**

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

For a nice jumping off point to explore the history of AMI, see **Tuthill 2012!** 

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_10.jpeg)

### NRM fringes can be described by amplitude and phase.

### Pupil

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Image

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

Interpreting Interferometric Observables van Cittert-Zernike Theorem: the complex visibilities of an incoherent source at great distance are equal to the Fourier transform of the source brightness distribution

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

Source: Jacopo Bertolotti https://twitter.com/j bertolotti/status/ <u>1674801693228417031?s=20</u>

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

## NRM observables are linear in instrumental phase...

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $Ae^{i\Phi(u,v)} = Ae^{i[\Phi i + (\Delta \Phi 2 - \Delta \Phi I)]}$  $\Phi(u_{I},v_{I}) = \Phi i(u_{I},v_{I}) + (\Delta \Phi_{2} - \Delta \Phi_{I})$ 

### ...and closure phases are robust to instrumental phase.

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\Phi(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{v}_1) = \Phi(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{v}_1) + (\Delta \Phi_2 - \Delta \Phi_1)$  $+ \Phi(u_2,v_2) = \Phi(u_2,v_2) + (\Delta \Phi_2 - \Delta \Phi_2)$  $+ \Phi(u_3, v_3) = \Phi(u_3, v_3) + (\Delta \Phi_1 - \Delta \Phi_3)$ 

 $\Phi(u_1,v_1) + \Phi(u_2,v_2) + \Phi(u_3,v_3) = \Phi(u_1,v_1) + \Phi(u_2,v_2) + \Phi(u_3,v_3)$ 

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

For a thorough discussion of phase errors in AMI, see Ireland 2013.

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

# What about a redundant aperture? $\Delta \Phi_3$ $\Delta \Phi_{A}$

Φi

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\Delta \Phi_2$ 

Note: Kernel phase interferometry can overcome this issue if you have high image quality! (Ask me after!)

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

Ae<sup>iΦ</sup>(u,v) Not linear in nstrumental phase **Φ(**u - (ΔΦ4 - ΔΦ3)] ΔΦΙ

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_13.jpeg)

Binary Image

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

Fourier Phase

**Baselines oriented** this way see phase that doesn't change with length.

**Baselines oriented this** way see phase that changes sinusoidally with length.

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

The phase signal seen by a particular baseline depends on its orientation and its length!

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Interpreting NRM Observables: Visibilities **Fourier Amplitudes Intrinsic to the Source**

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

An Introduction to Radio Astronomy; https://www.jb.man.ac.uk/ira4/IRA4%20SuppMat\_Chapter9.htm

## Images to Source Brightness Distribution

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

## NRM Contrast

- NRM's self-calibrating observables enable "superresolution": moderate contrast down to and within the diffraction limit
- We can re-project closure phases in a statistically independent way to make the wide-separation NRM contrast curve deeper (Ireland 2013; not shown on the right)

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

Xuan et al. 2018; Vides et al. 2023

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Ground-Based AM

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Early Demonstrations with UH 88, AAT, Hale

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

Early AMI observations did not use AO, and required short exposure times to "freeze-out" the atmosphere!

Baldwin et al. 1986; Frater et al. 1986; Readhead et al. 1988; Haniff et al. 1987

![](_page_44_Figure_5.jpeg)

## **10m-Class AMI Beginning with Keck Evolved Stars, YSOs, Colliding Binaries' Plumes and Wakes**

**IRC+10216** 

**WR 98A** 

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Red Rectangle**

![](_page_45_Picture_8.jpeg)

**WR 140** 

WR 112

![](_page_45_Picture_12.jpeg)

WR140 1999 Jul

WR 104

**MWC 349A** 

e.g. Tuthill et al. 2000, 2001; Danchi, Tuthill, & Monnier 2001

![](_page_45_Picture_17.jpeg)

## AMI + Adaptive Optics on 10m Class Telescopes

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

e.g. Kraus & Ireland 2012; Blakely et al. 2022; Sallum et al. 2015, 2023

![](_page_46_Picture_4.jpeg)

 $f_{*} = 0.9$ 

## **Contrast AMI Science**

48

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

g. Willson et al. 2019; Sallum et al. 2019; Han et al. 2022; Vides et al. 2023

## **Spectrally-Dispersed AMI on Integral Field Spectrographs**

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

e.g. Cheetham et al. 2016; Greenbaum et al. 2019

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Holographic Aperture Masking

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

-3.0 -2.5 -2.0 -1.5-1.0 -0.5-3.0 -2.5 -2.0 -1.5-3.5 -0.5 $\log(1/I_0)$ log(l/lo 30% bandwidth Monochromatic 200 - **C** 150 -100 -50 -0 --50 -100 --150 --200 -

200 - 0 150 -100 -50

-3.5

0 -

-50

-100

-150 -

-200 -

![](_page_49_Figure_5.jpeg)

Doelman et al. 2021

# JWST/NIRISS AMI: The First O/IR Space Interferometer

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

## NIRISS AM

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Sivaramakrishnan et al. 2023

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

## NIRISS AMI

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_3.jpeg)

Sivaramakrishnan et al. 2023; Greenbaum 2014

![](_page_52_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_8.jpeg)

## **Deep JWST AMI Mass Sensitivity** Comparison to NIRCam Coronagraphy

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ray et al. 2024

### ERS 1386 AMI Observed Contrast **Comparison to Photon Noise Predictions**

- Maximum contrast: ~7.2 mag at  $\geq \lambda / D$
- Note: this is deeper than deep Keck/NIRC2 performance
- Expected contrast: ~10.5 mag at  $\geq \lambda / D$
- Detector systematics (charge migration) can account for under-performance, and calibrations that eliminate charge migration bring you within a factor of a few of the photon noise floor!
- Observing strategy: look for flux-matched calibrators
- Careful calibration observations and computations in the works!

Sallum et al. 2024

![](_page_54_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_12.jpeg)

### Resolving WR 137 with JWST AM **Colliding Winds Plus Data Reduction and Image Reconstruction Tests**

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

Lau et al. 2024

![](_page_55_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_14.jpeg)

# PDS 70 Observed by JV

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

Blakely et al. 2024

![](_page_56_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_6.jpeg)

both scientifically and technically!

![](_page_57_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **AMI Data Reduction Pipelines** Lots of Work, Some Pipeline Comparisons Thanks to *JWST*

- <u>AMiCAL</u> Anthony Soulain <u>https://github.com/SydneyAstrophotonicsInstrumentationLab/AMICAL</u>
- ImplanelA Alexandra Greenbaum <a href="https://github.com/agreenbaum/ImPlanelA">https://github.com/agreenbaum/ImPlanelA</a>
- <u>fouriever</u> Jens Kammerer <u>https://github.com/kammerje/fouriever</u>
- <u>SAMPip</u> Joel Sánchez Bermúdez <u>https://cosmosz5.github.io/CASSINI/SAMpip/</u>
- <u>SAMpy</u> Steph Sallum <u>https://github.com/JWST-ERS1386-AMI/SAMpy</u>
- <u>XARA</u> Frantz Martinache <u>https://github.com/fmartinache/xara</u>
- <u>ARGUS</u> **Sam Factor** <u>https://github.com/smfactor/Argus</u>

## A Handful of Interferometry and AMI References

- Lawson 2000, Principles of Long Baseline Stellar Interferometry, https:// ecommons.cornell.edu/items/8892b20f-eeb0-4dae-a845-ab1c53a31d19
- Tuthill et al. 2000, Michelson Interferometry with the Keck I Telescope, <u>https://</u> ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000PASP..112..555T/abstract
- Martinache 2010, Kernel Phase in Fizeau Interferometry, <u>https://</u> ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...724..464M/abstract

## Thanks!

• Ireland 2013, Phase errors in diffraction-limited imaging: contrast limits for sparse aperture masking, https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013MNRAS.433.1718l/abstract

• Tuthill 2012, The unlikely rise of masking interferometry: leading the way with 19th century technology, https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012SPIE.8445E..02T/abstract

![](_page_59_Picture_12.jpeg)